IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CONTEMPT PETITION NO.62 OF 2004

Shri Sidram Subhana Bhosale) ... Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.) ... Respondents

Mr. N.J. Patil for the Petitioner.

Mr. D.A. Patil, A.G.P. for Respondents Nos.1 to 3.

CORAM : A.M. KHANWILKAR, J.

DATED : 8TH JUNE, 2006.

P.C.:-

Heard counsel for the parties. Perused the affidavit in reply filed on behalf of Respondents Nos.4 and 5. In paragraph 4 of this reply, it is clearly mentioned that necessary decision has already been taken by the concerned authority as per G.R. dated 1st October 1997. It is also mentioned that the said decision was informed to the Petitioner under cover of letter dated 21st November 2000. Indeed, it is possible to say that the decision has not been taken within eight weeks as was expected. However, as the final decision has been taken long back, which has been duly communicated to the Petitioner, it is not a case of wilful disobedience of the order of this court. Hence, it will be open to the Petitioner to take recourse to any other remedy as may be

advised if the Petitioner is aggrieved by the decision of the concerned authority, which proceedings will be decided on its own merits in accordance with law.

Disposed of accordingly.

[A.M. KHANWILKAR, J.]